**REFEREE FORM – REVIEW OF STUDY PROGRAMME**

**(NAME OF PROGRAMME)**

***Notes: Optional fields are marked with an asterisk (\*)*.  
 *Mark chosen answer with an X.***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1. JUSTIFIABILITY OF THE PROPOSED STUDY PROGRAMME** | |
| **1. 1. Are the provided explanations for the proposed study programme reasonably justified? Does the proposed programme have adequate scientific/artistic breadth?** | |
|  | Yes |
|  | No |
| **Comments/Explanations:** | |
|  | |
| **1. 2. The proposed study programme falls in one or more of the following categories:** | |
|  | The study programme is based on modern scientific achievements and its innovative approach will contribute to the development of Croatian society |
|  | The study programme meets the needs of the labour market – there is a shortage of highly educated staff of the profession in the Republic of Croatia |
|  | The study programme will be carried out in areas of special state concern with the prior consent of the local community |
|  | The study programme will replace an existing study programme which is financed from state budget in order to improve educational outcomes in this area |
|  | The study programme will be carried out as a joint study programme with a foreign university |
| **Comments/Explanations\*:** | |
|  | |
| **1. 3. Is the proposed study programme consistent with University’s mission and strategic plan :** | |
|  | Yes |
|  | No |
| **Comments/Explanations\*:** | |
| **2. GENERAL STRUCTURE OF THE PROPOSED STUDY PROGRAMME** | |
| **2. 1. Are the basic disciplines, as needed for the profession, well represented?** | |
|  | Yes |
|  | No |
| **Comments/Explanations\*:** | |
|  | |
| **2. 2. Is the proposed study programme generally well conceived?** | |
|  | Yes |
|  | No |
| **Comments/Explanations\*:** | |
|  | |
| **2. 3. Lecture work load:** | |
|  | Acceptable |
|  | Excessive work load |
|  | Insufficient work load |
| **Comments/Explanations\*:** | |
|  | |
| **2. 4. Does completion of the study programme ensure professional ability, including professional knowledge, skills, and methods of reasoning?** | |
|  | Yes |
|  | No |
| **Comments/Explanations\*:** | |
|  | |
| **2. 5. Are the proposed study programme’s learning outcomes:** | |
|  | Overspecialized |
|  | Too general |
|  | Appropriate to the aims of the proposed study programme |
| **Comments/Explanations\*:** | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **3. COURSE UNITS: CONTENTS, WORK LOAD AND TEACHING METHODS** | |
| **3. 1. Please list the course units that you consider inappropriate (if applicable).** | |
| **Comments/Explanations\*:** | |
|  | |
| **3. 2. Please indicate course units in which you recognise a discrepancy between the proposed scope and the amount of hours to be lectured, or ECTS** | |
| **Comments/Explanations\*:** | |
|  | |
| **3. 3. Are the proposed teaching methods appropriate?** | |
|  | Yes |
|  | No |
| **Comments/Explanations\*:** | |
|  | |
| **3. 3. 1. If your answer was *Yes*: Are the described tools (experimental or other practical) suitable for achieving the aims of the course unit?** | |
|  | Da |
|  | Ne |
| **Comments/Explanations\*:** | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **4. COMPARABILITY OF THE STUDY PROGRAMME WITH REALTED PROGRAMMES THAT ARE OFFERED IN MEMBER COUNTRIES OF THE EUROPEAN UNION** | |
| **4. 1. Does the study programme compare with programmes in the EU?** | |
|  | Yes |
|  | No |
| **Comments/Explanations\*:** | |
|  | |
| **4. 2. Does the study programme comply with recommendations by European and/or international associations from that discipline (if applicable)?** | |
|  | Yes |
|  | No |
|  | No recommendations provided by professional associations |
| **Comments/Explanations\*:** | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **5. CONCLUDING RECOMMENDATION** | |
|  | **A. Accept the proposed study programme in its present form.** |
|  | |
|  | **B. Accept the proposed study programme after minor revisions, according to referee’s recommendations.** |
| **Recommended revisions:** | |
|  | |
|  | **C. The proposed study programme can be reconsidered for acceptance after major revisions in compliance with the referee’s recommendations.** |
| **Recommended revisions:** | |
|  | |
|  | **D. Reject proposed study programme.** |
| **Reasons for rejecting the programme:** | |
|  | |
| **Additional Comments:** | |

**Referee:**

**(name)**

**(place and date)**